# UNIVERSITY INFORMATION TECHNOLOGY <br> DEPARTMENT OF INSPECTION, LEGISLATION AND QUALITY ASSURRANCE 

# REPORT SURVEY ABOUT LECTURERS' ACTIVITIES IN THE $2^{\text {nd }}$ SEMESTER OF THE SCHOOL YEAR 2022-2023 

## I. Survey results

### 1.1. About theoretical subjects

Table 1. Number of students participating in the theoretical course survey

|  | Number of classes |  | Number of lecturers |  | Number of students |  |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Faculty/Programs | According <br> to <br> timetable | Actual <br> Survey | According <br> to <br> timetable | Actual <br> Survey | According <br> to <br> timetable | Actual <br> Survey |
| Department of <br> Maths and Physics | 55 | 55 | 18 | 18 | 3377 | 2430 |
| Faculty of <br> Software <br> Engineering (SE) | 81 | 81 | 23 | 23 | 4537 | 3406 |
| Faculty of <br> Information <br> Systems (IS) | 110 | 110 | 44 | 44 | 4860 | 3560 |
| Faculty of <br> Computer Science <br> (CS) | 83 | 83 | 32 | 32 | 3854 | 2736 |
| Faculty of <br> Computer <br> Engineering (CE) | 49 | 49 | 26 | 26 | 2114 | 1557 |
| Faculty of <br> Information <br> Science and <br> Engineering <br> (IS\&E) | 44 | 44 | 18 | 18 | 2361 | 1776 |
| Faculty of <br> Computer <br>  | 76 | 76 | 28 | 28 | 3262 | 2554 |


|  | Number of classes |  | Number of lecturers |  | Number of students |  |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Faculty/Programs | According <br> to <br> timetable | Actual <br> Survey | According <br> to <br> timetable | Actual <br> Survey | According <br> to <br> timetable | Actual <br> Survey |
| Communications <br> (CN\&C) |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Department of <br> Academic Affairs | 97 | 97 | 54 | 54 | 7493 | 5503 |
| Center of foreign <br> languages | 27 | 27 | 10 | 10 | 403 | 313 |
| Total |  | $\mathbf{6 2 2}$ | $\mathbf{6 2 2}$ | $\mathbf{2 5 3}$ | $\mathbf{2 5 3}$ | $\mathbf{3 2 2 6 1}$ |

- A survey was conducted for $100 \%$ of the classes opened in the second semester of the 2022-2023 academic year.
- The participation rate of students in the survey for theoretical courses was $73.9 \%$.


Figure 1 shows:

- Subjects under the management of the University Ward had the highest participation (23.1\%)
- The Faculty of Information Systems and the Faculty of Software Engineering have higher response rates than other Faculties ( $14.9 \%$ and $14.3 \%$, respectively)
- The participation rate of students in the Faculty of Science and Technology is the lowest (6.5\%) and the Faculty of Science and Technology (7.5\%)

- About $3.7 \%$ of students do not know about the outcome standards in the Faculties
- The rate of students assessing from $70 \%$ to $>90 \%$ of the outcome standards is quite high (the average rate is $80.5 \%$ ); in which, the highest is the Faculty of SE ( $84.2 \%$ ); in the next position are the Faculty of IS (83.6\%) and the Faculty of IS\&E (83.2\%).

- The highest-class attendance rate is among students in the Faculty of IS and the Faculty of IS\&E, with rates of $86.2 \%$ and $85.2 \%$, respectively. The lowest attendance rate is in the Faculty of CE, with a rate of $79.6 \%$.
- The percentage of students with class attendance of less than $50 \%$ still remains at approximately $11 \%$ in all departments.

Table 2. Criteria for evaluating theoretical teaching activities
(1- Not good/ Not satisfied; 2- Not good/ Not satisfied; 3- Good/ Satisfied; 4- Very good/ Very satisfied)

| No. | Criteria | Whole chool |  |  |  | SE |  | IS |  | CS |  | CE |  | IS\&E |  | CN\&C |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  | (1) | 2 | 3 | 4 | 3 | 4 | 3 | 4 | 3 | (1) | 2 | 3 | 4 | 3 | 4 | 3 |
| 1 | Learning outcome, requirement and content of subjects often are introduced in the first lesson and repeated frequently in subsequent sessions | 0.8 | 2.9 | 23.6 | 72.7 | 25.2 | 71.7 | 23.9 | 72 | 25.7 | 67.8 | 23.2 | 73 | 23.9 | 73.8 | 22.3 | 75.1 |
| 2 | The content of subjects are taught exactly, updated and connected to real life | 1 | 4.1 | 25.3 | 69.6 | 26.7 | 69.1 | 25.7 | 68.7 | 27.3 | 63.8 | 25.3 | 67.6 | 24.9 | 71.6 | 24.3 | 71.9 |
| 3 | Classroom/Laboratory and equipment adapted the requirements of teaching and learning. | 1.3 | 4.4 | 25.7 | 68.6 | 26.9 | 67.3 | 25.3 | 69.2 | 28.1 | 64.9 | 26.4 | 67.3 | 27.1 | 68.7 | 24.3 | 71.6 |
| 4 | Curriculum, lesson plans and documentary serve for learning and teaching activities, which are supplied sufficiently and updated on the Moodle system | 1.6 | 4.4 | 24.5 | 69.5 | 25.2 | 70.5 | 24.6 | 70.2 | 26.4 | 65.1 | 23.5 | 69.7 | 24.4 | 72.7 | 23.3 | 73.1 |
| 5 | Lecturers' teaching methods help students to understand and apply for practice. | 1.6 | 5.6 | 25.7 | 67.1 | 27.8 | 66.2 | 25.3 | 66.2 | 27.9 | 59.6 | 25.4 | 64.7 | 25.7 | 70.5 | 24.9 | 69.6 |


| No. | Criteria | Whole chool |  |  |  | SE |  | IS |  | CS |  | CE |  | IS\&E |  | CN\&C |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  | (1) | 2 | 3 | 4 | (3) | 4 | 3 | 4 | (3) | (1) | 2 | 3 | 4 | 3 | 4 | 3 |
| 6 | Lecturers instruct their student to active learning methods and create motivation for studying long-life. | 1.4 | 5.2 | 25.7 | 67.7 | 27.4 | 67.1 | 25.8 | 67.4 | 27.3 | 62.1 | 25.9 | 65.5 | 24.2 | 71.6 | 24.8 | 70.8 |
| 7 | Lecturers have a good transaction ability | 1.5 | 5 | 24.3 | 69.2 | 25.2 | 68.7 | 25.7 | 66.6 | 27 | 62.4 | 23.3 | 67.2 | 24.4 | 72.1 | 23 | 71.7 |
| 8 | Lecturers are <br> enthusiastic <br> devoted. and | 1.2 | 3.7 | 22.6 | 72.5 | 24.4 | 71.4 | 23.3 | 71.5 | 24.9 | 66.9 | 21.2 | 71.9 | 21.2 | 75 | 22 | 74.4 |
| 9 | Teachers guarantee classroom on time. | 1 | 3.4 | 22.9 | 72.7 | 23.4 | 71.7 | 23.4 | 72.2 | 25.5 | 67.1 | 22 | 73.5 | 22 | 74.6 | 22.4 | 73.9 |
| 10 | Teachers use the time at class effectively. | 1.3 | 3.9 | 23.6 | 71.2 | 25.3 | 69.9 | 24.2 | 70.2 | 26 | 64.7 | 21.7 | 69.8 | 23 | 73.2 | 22.7 | 73.8 |
| 11 | Lecturers teach <br> seriously follow to the <br> Syllabus. | 0.9 | 3.2 | 23.5 | 72.4 | 24.4 | 71.8 | 24.1 | 71.2 | 24.9 | 67.8 | 23 | 72.2 | 23.9 | 74.3 | 23.3 | 73.7 |
| 12 | Lecturers use <br> equipment, teaching  <br> and learning tools <br> logically and <br> effectively.  | 1.1 | 3.9 | 24.2 | 70.8 | 25.8 | 70 | 24.6 | 69.4 | 26 | 65.9 | 23.5 | 69.3 | 24.3 | 72.9 | 23.6 | 72.5 |
| 13 | Lecturers use the software/tools to discuss and support the student on the learning process | 2.1 | 5.1 | 24.7 | 68.1 | 25.8 | 68.4 | 24.4 | 69.5 | 26.5 | 64.7 | 24.7 | 66.1 | 24.6 | 72 | 24.3 | 70.7 |


| No. | Criteria | Whole chool |  |  |  | SE |  | IS |  | CS |  | CE |  | IS\&E |  | CN\&C |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  | (1) | 2 | 3 | 4 | (3) | 4 | 3 | 4 | 3 | (1) | 2 | 3 | 4 | 3 | 4 | 3 |
| 14 | Lecturers used a variety of assessment formality to record students’ learning outcomes | 1.2 | 4.1 | 24.5 | 70.2 | 25.8 | 69.1 | 24.2 | 70.5 | 27.3 | 65 | 23.7 | 69.3 | 23.4 | 73.6 | 23.8 | 73 |
| 15 | The testing has synthesized the knowledge and skills of subjects. | 1.1 | 3.6 | 25.1 | 70.2 | 26.8 | 69.4 | 24.9 | 70 | 27.3 | 64.3 | 25.6 | 69.4 | 24.2 | 72.7 | 24.3 | 72.2 |
| 16 | The results of testing reflected correctly and fairly about students' potienta | 1.3 | 4 | 26 | 68.7 | 27.4 | 67.9 | 26.6 | 67.7 | 28.9 | 62.2 | 26.8 | 67.4 | 24.4 | 71.7 | 25.1 | 71.5 |
| 17 | The results are published on time that helps students modifying learning activities themselve | 1.6 | 4.6 | 25.3 | 68.5 | 27.2 | 66.1 | 26.2 | 68.1 | 27.5 | 61.6 | 24.7 | 67.3 | 23.9 | 71.8 | 24.6 | 71.4 |
| 18 | At the end of the lesson, students supplied knowledge and skills adapted learning outcome. | 1.2 | 4.2 | 26.6 | 68 | 28.7 | 66.8 | 26.8 | 67.8 | 30.3 | 59.8 | 27.2 | 66 | 24.8 | 71.6 | 24.8 | 71.5 |
| 19 |  | 1.5 | 4.3 | 24.7 | 69.5 | 25.4 | 69.7 | 25.8 | 67.7 | 28.1 | 61.7 | 23.8 | 68.2 | 23.8 | 72.9 | 23.4 | 72.4 |



- All criteria for theoretical courses are assessed as satisfactory and very satisfactory (collectively referred to as satisfactory), with an average satisfaction rate of $94.5 \%$.
- The criteria assessed as highly satisfactory include: Learning outcomes, requirements, and course content introduced by the lecturer in the first class (96.3\%), Lecturers adhering to the course syllabus ( $95.9 \%$ ), Lecturers ensuring punctuality ( $95.6 \%$ ), Comprehensive test/exam content assessing the knowledge and skills of the course ( $95.3 \%$ ), Lecturers being dedicated and enthusiastic (95.1\%), Lecturers using teaching tools effectively (95\%),...
- The average dissatisfaction rate of students regarding theoretical courses is $5.5 \%$, with a focus on criteria related to the teaching methods of lecturers and the timely publication of academic results.
Table 3. Summary of the average scores of theoretical course instructors.

| Content | GPA $<\mathbf{3 . 0}$ | GPA <br> between 3.0 <br> and 4.0 | Highest GPA <br> $\mathbf{( 4 )}$ | Lowest GPA <br> $\mathbf{( 2 . 6 )}$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Number | 9 | 587 | 6 | 2 |
| Percentage | 1.5 | 98.5 | 1.01 | 0.34 |

### 1.2. HT1 Practical Subject

Table 4. Number of students participating in the survey for practical course HT1

| Faculty/Programs | Number of classes |  | Number of <br> lecturers |  | Number of <br> students |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | According <br> to <br> timetable | Actual <br> Survey | According <br> to <br> timetable | Actual <br> Survey | According <br> to <br> timetable | Actual <br> Survey |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| SE | 53 | 53 | 14 | 14 | 1699 | 1180 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| IS | 77 | 77 | 19 | 19 | 2110 | 1446 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| CS | 64 | 64 | 25 | 25 | 1887 | 1207 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| CE | 74 | 74 | 25 | 25 | 2041 | 1434 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| IS\&E | 41 | 41 | 11 | 11 | 1287 | 928 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| CN\&C | 76 | 76 | 26 | 26 | 2459 | 1857 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Department of <br> Academic Affairs | 21 | 21 | 10 | 10 | 586 | 301 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Total |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | $\mathbf{4 0 6}$ | $\mathbf{4 0 6}$ | $\mathbf{1 3 0}$ | $\mathbf{1 3 0}$ | $\mathbf{1 2 0 6 9}$ | $\mathbf{8 3 5 3}$ |

- The percentage of student participation in feedback for Practical Course HT1 is $61.21 \%$.
- The highest feedback participation rates for HT1 are among students from the Faculty of CN\&C (75.52\%) and the Faculty of IS\&E (72.11\%).


Figure 5. Class attendance time for practical course HT1

- The percentage of students attending HT1 classes with an attendance rate of $>80 \%$ is quite high, with an average rate of $83.3 \%$. In most departments, students reported regular class attendance with rates $>84 \%$, except for the Department of Computer-Aided Design (CAD) which achieved a rate of $76.7 \%$.
- The percentage of students attending classes less than $50 \%$ remains relatively high at approximately $18.4 \%$ for all departments, with the highest being the Department of Mechanical Engineering with a rate of $5.6 \%$ and the Department of Electrical Engineering with a rate of $3.2 \%$.

Table 5. Criteria for evaluating HT1 practical teaching activities
(1-Not good/ Not satisfied; 2- Not good/ Not satisfied; 3-Good/ Satisfied; 4- Very good/ Very satisfied)

| No. | Criteria | Whole chool |  |  |  | SE |  | CS |  | IS |  | CE |  | CN\&C |  | IS\&E |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  | (1) | 2 | 3 | 4 | 3 | 4 | 3 | 4 | 3 | 4 | 3 | 4 | 3 | 4 | 3 | 4 |
| 1 | You are provided with sufficient information of syllabus/laborator y plans | 0.8 | 2.4 | 20.6 | 76.2 | 20.3 | 75.6 | 16 | 81.1 | 16 | 81.1 | 23.8 | 72.6 | 20.1 | 77.7 | 20 | 78 |
| 2 | Syllabus <br> explicitly states the knowledge, skills that students need to achieve after each laboratory meeting | 0.9 | 3 | 22.8 | 73.3 | 22.2 | 72.1 | 18.9 | 78 | 18.9 | 78 | 25.7 | 69.6 | 22.3 | 75.2 | 23.1 | 74.8 |
| 3 | The content of practices to help students reviewing a theory knowledge | 1 | 2.6 | 22.2 | 74.2 | 21 | 74.8 | 18.1 | 78.8 | 18.1 | 78.8 | 26.4 | 69.8 | 21.5 | 75.9 | 20.8 | 77.1 |
| 4 | Assignments practising are suitable with students' abilities | 1.3 | 3.8 | 23 | 71.9 | 21.3 | 71.8 | 18.7 | 78.1 | 18.8 | 78.1 | 27.5 | 67.5 | 22.3 | 73.7 | 21.8 | 75.4 |
| 5 | Timestable practising is | 0.9 | 3.1 | 21.7 | 74.3 | 21.6 | 73.9 | 17.9 | 79.6 | 18 | 79.5 | 24.3 | 70.6 | 21.2 | 75.7 | 21 | 76.2 |


| N | Criteria | Whole chool |  |  |  | SE |  | CS |  | IS |  | CE |  | CN\&C |  | IS\&E |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  | (1) | (2) | (3) | 4 | 3 | 4 | 3 | 4 | 3 | 4 | 3 | 4 | 3 | 4 | 3 | 4 |
|  | arranged logically and consistently with theories subjects |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 6 | Equipment, labs are well supplied and adapted to the students' requirements into the practice process. | 1 | 3.6 | 22.3 | 73.1 | 22.9 | 69.8 | 18.1 | 78.4 | 18.1 | 78.4 | 24.9 | 69.9 | 22.1 | 74.1 | 21.1 | 76.1 |
| 7 | Labs guarantee <br> the safety <br> conditions  | 0.7 | 2.2 | 21.4 | 75.7 | 22.2 | 74.2 | 17.1 | 80.1 | 17.1 | 80.1 | 22.2 | 74.1 | 22.2 | 76 | 21.4 | 76.5 |
| 8 | Students are <br> divided into <br> groups suitable.  | 1 | 2.8 | 22.1 | 74.1 | 23.2 | 70.9 | 17.7 | 80.4 | 17.7 | 80.4 | 24.1 | 71.2 | 22 | 75.4 | 20.6 | 77 |
| 9 | You are supplied with sufficient learning resources/handson exercies | 1 | 2.5 | 21.4 | 75.1 | 20.5 | 75.2 | 16.8 | 80.7 | 16.8 | 80.7 | 24.3 | 71.7 | 21.4 | 76.1 | 20.9 | 76.5 |
| 10 | Students are announced about test formality before taking part in courses. | 0.8 | 2.6 | 21.2 | 75.4 | 19 | 77.1 | 17 | 80.1 | 17 | 80.1 | 23.5 | 72.2 | 21.3 | 76.1 | 21.1 | 77.1 |


| No. | Criteria | Whole chool |  |  |  | SE |  | CS |  | IS |  | CE |  | CN\&C |  | IS\&E |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  | (1) | (2) | 3 | 4 | 3 | 4 | 3 | 4 | 3 | 4 | 3 | 4 | 3 | 4 | 3 | 4 |
| 11 | Lecturers observed and watched the whole of students' practice process | 1.2 | 3.4 | 21.8 | 73.6 | 21.6 | 73.4 | 17.4 | 78.9 | 17.4 | 78.9 | 23.2 | 71.1 | 22.3 | 73.9 | 20.9 | 76.6 |
| 12 | Lecturers instructed the lesson content, described the steps before conducting the practice process. | 1.4 | 3.4 | 21.4 | 73.8 | 21.8 | 73.5 | 17.2 | 78.8 | 17.1 | 78.9 | 22.5 | 71.3 | 21.1 | 74.9 | 21.6 | 76.2 |
| 13 | Lecturers have <br> many professional  <br> experiences while <br> instructing and <br> answering the <br> questions of <br> students.  | 1.2 | 3 | 20.7 | 75.1 | 19.9 | 75.8 | 16.8 | 79.2 | 16.8 | 79.2 | 21.9 | 72.7 | 21.3 | 75.3 | 20.5 | 77.1 |
| 14 | Students are <br> satisfied with <br> teachers' answers <br> related to the  <br> lessons.  | 1.3 | 3.2 | 21.5 | 74 | 21.3 | 73.9 | 17.8 | 78.8 | 17.8 | 78.8 | 23.9 | 70.7 | 21.3 | 74.7 | 19.9 | 77.7 |
| 15 | Lecturers ensured and used the time effectively. | 1.1 | 3.1 | 21.3 | 74.5 | 19.8 | 75.2 | 17.6 | 79 | 17.6 | 79 | 22.5 | 71.9 | 21.2 | 75.6 | 21.2 | 76 |


| No. |  | Whole chool |  |  |  | SE |  | CS |  | IS |  | CE |  | CN\&C |  | IS\&E |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  | (1) | (2) | 3 | 4 | 3 | 4 | 3 | 4 | 3 | 4 | 3 | 4 | 3 | 4 | 3 | 4 |
| 16 | Lecturers evaluate accurately and fairly to the practice results of learners. | 1 | 2.8 | 21.8 | 74.4 | 20.2 | 74.2 | 18.1 | 78.7 | 18.1 | 78.7 | 23.6 | 72.1 | 22.9 | 74.5 | 22.7 | 75.4 |
| 17 | Examination/lear ning assessment methods are matched appropriately with the laboratory subjects | 1.2 | 2.9 | 22 | 73.9 | 22.5 | 70.9 | 17.8 | 79.1 | 17.8 | 79.1 | 23.7 | 71.9 | 22.1 | 75.4 | 21.8 | 75.5 |
| 18 | The knowledge and skills gained through the subject help you meet the outcome standards | 1 | 3 | 22.5 | 73.5 | 21.6 | 73 | 18.6 | 78.6 | 18.6 | 78.6 | 25 | 70.4 | 21.9 | 75.1 | 22.2 | 75.6 |
| 19 | Students are <br> satisfied with <br> lecturers' teaching <br> and learning <br> activities  | 1.1 | 3.1 | 21.2 | 74.6 | 20 | 74.8 | 17.8 | 78.7 | 17.8 | 78.7 | 24.3 | 71.2 | 20.7 | 76 | 18.8 | 79.1 |

- The satisfaction rate (comprising both "satisfied" and "very satisfied") among students is remarkably high, exceeding 96\%.

This level of satisfaction is higher but not significantly different from the level of satisfaction among students in theoretical courses.

- All criteria are assessed as "satisfactory" by students, with ratings $>94 \%$. These criteria include: Ensuring safety conditions in laboratories/practical sessions ( $97.1 \%$ ), Detailed information provided by instructors about the curriculum/lesson plan ( $96.8 \%$ ), Pre-learning assessment and result evaluation methods ( $96.6 \%$ ), Provision of comprehensive materials/exercises for practical sessions ( $96.5 \%$ ), Practical content that reinforces theoretical knowledge ( $96.4 \%$ ),...
- The average dissatisfaction rate among students in Practical Course HT1 is around 4\%, focusing on the following criteria: Practical exercises aligned with learners' abilities (5.1\%), Instructors providing guidance on content and outlining the steps before practical exercises/experiments (4.8\%), Well-equipped facilities and laboratories meeting requirements during practical sessions/experiments (4.6\%), Instructor monitoring and supervision of the practical process; satisfaction with how instructors respond and explain the lesson (4.5\%),...


Table 6. Summary of the average score of teachers in TH HT1

| Content | GPA $<\mathbf{3 . 0}$ | GPA between <br> $\mathbf{3 . 0}$ and 4.0 | Highest GPA (4) | Lowest <br> GPA (2.6) |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Number | 2 | 361 | 10 | 1 |
| Percentage | 0.55 | 99.45 | 2.75 | 0.28 |

### 1.3. Regard the HT2 practical subjects

Table 7. Number of students participating in the survey for the HT2 practical course

| Faculty/Programs | Number of classes |  | Number of <br> lecturers |  | Number of <br> students |  |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | According <br> to <br> timetable | Actual <br> Survey | According <br> to <br> timetable | Actual <br> Survey | According <br> to <br> timetable | Actual <br> Survey |
|  | 34 | 34 | 10 | 10 | 2268 | 1691 |
| IS | 7 | 7 | 3 | 3 | 371 | 269 |
| CS | 44 | 44 | 17 | 17 | 1906 | 1342 |
| CE | 3 | 3 | 2 | 2 | 80 | 62 |
| IS\&E | 17 | 17 | 7 | 7 | 777 | 593 |
| CN\&C | 12 | 12 | 8 | 8 | 549 | 434 |
| Total |  | $\mathbf{4 2}$ | $\mathbf{4 2}$ | $\mathbf{4 7}$ | $\mathbf{4 7}$ | $\mathbf{5 9 5 1}$ |
| $\mathbf{y y y y y y y y}$ | $\mathbf{4 3 9 1}$ |  |  |  |  |  |

- The response rate of students for the second form of practical course (TH HT2) is $73.8 \%$, which is higher compared to the response rate for the TH HT1 course.
- The highest response rate for TH HT2 is from students of the Faculty of CN\&C (79.1\%) and the Faculty of CE (77.5\%).
- The proportion of students attending HT2 classes with a duration of over $80 \%$ is quite high, with an average rate of $82.7 \%$. In most departments, students rate their regular class attendance with rates exceeding $84 \%$. The exception is the Faculty of SE, where the rate of students attending classes over $80 \%$ is $75.2 \%$, and the Faculty of CE, with a rate of 78.4\%.
- The proportion of students attending classes less than $50 \%$ accounts for about $24.3 \%$ for all departments, which is higher than in HT1 (18.4\%).

Figure 7. Student attendance time for the HT2 practical course

| 100,0 | course |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  | 86,8 | 84,6 | 78,4 | 85,1 | 86,4 |
| 80,0 | $75,2$ |  |  |  |  |  |
| 60,0 |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 40,0 |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 20,0 | 15,3 ${ }_{9}$ | 11,8 | 12,1 | 17,2 | 12,3 | 10,6 |
|  | $\square$ |  |  | 4,5 | 2,6 | 3,0 |
| 0,0 | SE | IS | CS | CE | IS\&E | CN\&C |
|  |  |  | - | - |  |  |

Table 8. Criteria for evaluating HT2 practical teaching activities
(1-Not at all good/Not satisfied; 2- Not good/ Not satisfied; 3-Good/Satisfied; 4-Very good/Very satisfied)

| No. | Criteria | Whole School |  |  |  | SE |  | CS |  | IS |  | CE |  | CN\&C |  | IS\&E |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  | (1) | (2) | 3 | 4 | 3 | 4 | 3 | (4) | 3 | (4) | 3 | (4) | 3 | 4 | 3 | (4) |
| 1 | Lecturers met their students (at least 3 times) as prescribed. | 1.7 | 2.8 | 20.5 | 75 | 22.6 | 74.9 | 17.1 | 79.1 | 17.3 | 81.1 | 24 | 72 | 23.5 | 74.5 | 18.1 | 80.9 |
| 2 | Lecturers answered their $\quad$ students' questions very fast (within 24 hours). | 1.5 | 3.5 | 24.2 | 70.8 | 26.6 | 70.8 | 23.7 | 68.6 | 26 | 66.9 | 28.8 | 65.6 | 24.5 | 71.5 | 20 | 78.1 |
| 3 | Exercises, projects aligned with lesson content. | 1.4 | 3.4 | 23.3 | 71.9 | 26.3 | 69.1 | 23.4 | 68.6 | 25.2 | 67.7 | 21.6 | 72.8 | 28.6 | 68.3 | 22.9 | 76.1 |
| 4 | Lecturers supplied <br> documents sufficiently <br> in doing practice <br> process, exercise <br> lessons.  | 1.5 | 3.3 | 23.1 | 72.1 | 27.2 | 68.7 | 21 | 69.1 | 24.4 | 69.3 | 27.2 | 66.4 | 27.6 | 69.3 | 21 | 78 |
| 5 | Lecturers always use the Moodle, emails, forums or personal website to discuss with students about the projects, assignments. | 1.6 | 4.2 | 23.4 | 70.8 | 26.3 | 69.4 | 21.7 | 69.6 | 21.3 | 70 | 19.2 | 68.8 | 25.5 | 70.4 | 22.9 | 75.2 |
| 6 | Lecturers set the rule for students about the deadline to finish | 1.2 | 2.3 | 22.7 | 73.8 | 26.3 | 70.2 | 21 | 74.7 | 20.5 | 76.3 | 16 | 76 | 22.4 | 76.6 | 20 | 79 |


| No. | Criteria | Whole School |  |  |  | SE |  | CS |  | IS |  | CE |  | CN\& |  | IS\&E |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  | (1) | (2) | 3 | 4 | 3 | 4 | 3 | 4 | (3) | 4 | 3 | 4 | 3 | 4 | 3 | 4 |
|  | projects, reports and lessons. |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 7 | Students are satisfied with teachers' methods in the practice process | 1.9 | 3.6 | 23.4 | 71.1 | 26.1 | 67.7 | 22 | 67.1 | 22.8 | 66.9 | 19.2 | 72 | 23.5 | 71.4 | 17.1 | 80 |
| 8 | Teachers evaluated accurately, fairly to the results of students through projects, lessons | 1.6 | 3.2 | 23.1 | 72.1 | 25.5 | 69.9 | 23.9 | 69.1 | 26.8 | 66.1 | 22.4 | 68.8 | 28.6 | 69.4 | 19 | 80 |
| 9 | The results of the project/practice exercises are objective, fair and reflect the learning capacity of students | 1.5 | 2.7 | 22.8 | 73 | 29 | 68.3 | 24.9 | 68.6 | 29.1 | 70 | 20 | 71.2 | 30.6 | 67.4 | 19 | 79.1 |

- The overall satisfaction rate of students (referred to as satisfaction) is very high, over 95\%.
- All criteria were rated as satisfactory by students at over $94 \%$, including criteria such as: Instructors specifying deadlines for submitting assignments, exercises, or reports to students ( $96.5 \%$ ), Objective, fair, and reflective assessment of students' learning abilities in the assignment/practical work results (95.8\%); Instructors meeting students (a minimum of 3 focused study sessions) as per the announced regulations ( $95.5 \%$ ),...
- The average dissatisfaction rate of students in the TH HT1 course is still around $4.8 \%$ (higher than TH HT1, which has a 4\% dissatisfaction rate), focusing on the following criteria: Instructors frequently using Moodle, email, forums, or personal websites
to communicate with students about assignments and practical exercises (5.8\%), Instructors quickly addressing students' doubts/questions regarding course content (5\%), The assessment methods used by instructors being suitable for the practical content (4.8\%),...


Table 9. Summary of the average scores for instructors of the HT2 course

| Content | GPA $<\mathbf{3 . 0}$ | GPA <br> between 3.0 <br> and 4.0 | Highest GPA <br> $\mathbf{( 3 . 9 )}$ | Lowest GPA <br> $\mathbf{( 2 . 8 )}$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Number | 1 | 116 | 15 | 1 |
| Percentage | 0.9 | 99.1 | 12.8 | 0.9 |

## II. Student feedback on lecturers' teaching activities

The satisfaction rate of students regarding the teaching activities of instructors in theoretical courses is moderately high, at 78.5\%; the dissatisfaction rate also constitutes a significant $21.5 \%$.
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Satisfactory opinions primarily focus on content delivery methods of instructors; enthusiasm, and dedication;...

Dissatisfactory opinions of students revolve around instructors being late, teaching beyond the scheduled time; assigning a significant number of assignments and exams with short deadlines; the method and organization of teaching not being suitable, failing to reflect the learners' abilities; some instructors not actively participating in teaching; tardiness, ...

Satisfaction/dissatisfaction of students' opinions about the teaching activities of theoretical courses
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